DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 292 908 UD 026 077

TITLE Chapter One in Ohio. Education Consolidation and Improvement Act. 22nd Annual Evaluation Report. Fiscal 1987.
INSTITUTION Ohio State Dept. of Education, Columbus.
PUB DATE Mar 88
NOTE 33p.; For reports of Fiscal Years 1983-1986, see ED 249 325, ED 254 833, ED 272 330, and ED 281 183.
PUB TYPE Reports - Evaluative/Feasibility (142)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Child Neglect; *Compensatory Education; Delinquency; Disabilities; Educational Improvement; *Educationally Disadvantaged; Elementary Secondary Education; *Mathematics Instruction; *Migrant Education; Migrant Programs; Program Costs; Program Evaluation; *Reading Instruction; Staff Development
IDENTIFIERS *Education Consolidation Improvement Act Chapter 1; *Ohio

ABSTRACT

Chapter 1 activities in Ohio for 1986-87 are summarized. The basic programs are described with emphasis on the following components: (1) student participation; (2) instructional areas; (3) impact of reading instruction; (4) impact of math instruction; (5) expenditure patterns; (6) staff positions; (7) inservice teacher education; (8) parent involvement and advisory councils; and (9) program successes. These basic programs were in 99 per cent of Ohio's school districts with a concentration on students in grades one through four. The program was successful in providing instructional services, assessing needs, and involving parents. Through special provisions of Chapter 1, funding came for special programs for children of migratory agricultural workers. The emphasis in these programs is on helping young children gain English language skills. A summer program allows most of this population to receive year round instruction. A Migrant Student Record Transfer System sends and receives educational and demographic information about the students in this program. Other special Chapter 1 programs are targeted for handicapped, neglected, and delinquent children. The booklet contains numerous photographs. Tables provide data on expenditures, participating students, teachers, and service organizations, and program descriptions and outcomes. (VM)

****
* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *
****

Chapter One in Ohio

Education Consolidation and Improvement Act

22nd Annual Evaluation Report

Fiscal 1987

ED292908

UD026077

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

X This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

OHIO DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

J. B. Walter
Ohio State Dept. of Ed

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION

Patricia Smith,
President, Worthington
Paul Brickner,
Vice President, Willoughby
Jean E. Bender, Akron
Richard C. Glowacki, Toledo
Mary Goodrich, Zanesville
Jack C. Hunter, Youngstown
Virginia E. Jacobs, Lima
Mary R. Lindner, Cincinnati
William E. Moore, Woodsfield
Sue Ann Norton, Westerville
Paul F. Pfeiffer, Massillon
Ronald Popek, Cleveland
C. J. Prentiss, Cleveland
Edwin C. Price, Jr., Cincinnati
Constance Rice, South Charleston
Chester A. Roush, Kettering
Anthony J. Russo, Mayfield Village
Wayne E. Shaffer, Bryan
Sally R. Southard, Oxford
Jo Thatcher, Portsmouth
Karen L. Worley, Elyria

Chapter One in Ohio

Education Consolidation and Improvement Act 22nd Annual Evaluation Report

Fiscal 1987

Chapter One Helps Children 1

Basic Programs 2
- Student Participation 3
- Instructional Areas 5
- Impact of Reading Instruction 6
- Impact of Mathematics Instruction 7
- Expenditure Patterns 8
- Staff Positions 10
- Inservice Education 11
- Parent Involvement 12
- Parent Advisory Councils 13
- Summary of Successes 14

Special Programs
- Migrant Children 16
- Handicapped Children 20
- Neglected and Delinquent Children 24

State Leadership 28

Five-Year Financial and Human Impact Summary 29

Assistant Superintendent of Public Instruction Raymond A. Horn and Mrs. Horn are pictured with the sculpture featured on the cover. Dr. Horn was presented with this Ann Entis sculpture at the 1987 spring Chapter 1 conference.

OHIO DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION □ **Franklin B. Walter,** State Superintendent of Public Instruction □ **Raymond A. Horn,** Assistant Superintendent of Public Instruction □ **Arlie Cox,** Director, Division of Federal Assistance □ 933 High Street, Worthington, Ohio 43085-4087.

Chapter One Helps Children

This 22nd annual report summarizes recent activities provided in Ohio through Chapter 1 of the Education Consolidation and Improvement Act and its predecessor, Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act. Information presented includes statistics for fiscal 1987 (the 1986-87 school year and the summer that followed), participation trends, instructional impact, expenditure and staffing patterns, parent involvement, and five-year trends.

Chapter 1 authorizes a federally funded compensatory program for several groups of educationally disadvantaged children. The legislation directs that priority educational needs of these children be identified and programs designed to provide appropriate supplemental instruction.

Basic provisions of Chapter 1 are funded on the premise that areas with high concentrations of low-income families also have high concentrations of children who are educationally disadvantaged. Public school districts are allocated funds to provide supplemental instruction for such students.

Special provisions of Chapter 1 recognize a federal responsibility to improve the educational opportunities available to the children of migratory agricultural workers. The legislation channels funds through state departments of education for distribution to school districts where influxes of migrant children occur.

Special provisions of Chapter 1 also recognize the need for supplemental instruction to help handicapped, neglected, and delinquent children who attend school in state-operated facilities.

Pages 2 to 15 explain the basic Chapter 1 services provided by Ohio's public school districts. Statistics for the current year and five-year trends clearly indicate that this program helps children become successful learners.

Pages 16 to 27 describe the special Chapter 1 services provided for the children of migratory agricultural workers and handicapped, neglected, and delinquent children being educated in state agency schools. Here also the statistics indicate the beneficial human impact of the supplemental services provided through federal aid to education.

Chapter 1 in Ohio is administered by the Ohio Department of Education's Division of Federal Assistance and Division of Special Education. A description of the state's leadership role is on page 28.

Basic Programs

Nearly all school districts in Ohio qualify for Chapter 1 funds and, except for a few with small allocations, most participate. In fiscal 1987, a total of 613 of 616 districts operated Chapter 1 programs.

Fiscal Year	Grant Award
1983	$ 82,548,584
1984	94,241,680
1985	103,812,686
1986	111,078,740
1987	109,122,668

The allocation for each school district is based on a formula dependent on the number of children aged five through seventeen residing in the district who are

- From low-income families, based on census data.
- From families receiving Aid for Dependent Children.
- In institutions for neglected or delinquent children.
- In foster homes in the district.

Grant awards to school districts for basic programs for the last five years total over $500,000,000. Note that the grant award decreased about 2 percent from fiscal 1986 to fiscal 1987. Funds sequestered under provisions of the Gramm-Rudman-Hollings Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act caused this reduction.

Fiscal Year	Districts Participating	Percent of All Districts
1983	606	99%
1984	612	99
1985	613	99
1986	613	99
1987	613	99

Chapter 1 is *forward funded*, a term meaning that the money approved for the fiscal year which begins in October is available for use during the school year which begins the next September. Provisions are also made for funds to be carried over and used the following year.

The rationale for forward funding and carryover is to provide school administrators with the flexibility needed to employ staff on a timely basis and to adjust to changes which occur during the school year.

All basic program statistics which follow relate to actual expenditures rather than grant awards.

Five-Year Trends: Chapter 1 Expenditures

Fiscal Year	Current Funds	Carryover Funds	Total Expenditures
1983	$ 73,692,423	$ 8,360,285	$ 82,052,708
1984	83,458,360	13,319,689	96,778,049
1985	92,878,023	14,082,352	106,960,375
1986	99,939,241	12,178,549	112,117,790
1987	100,806,094	13,907,213	114,713,307

Student Participation

Most Chapter 1 activities in Ohio are conducted during the regular term, and over half are directed toward serving children in grades one through three. The 613 school districts providing Chapter 1 instruction during the regular term served 130,689 students. The 15 districts having summer-term instruction served 6,575 students. Of these students, 6,020 participated both terms.

The grade level with the most participants was grade one with 34,770 students. Grade two ranked second with 24,925. Grades three and four followed with 18,160 and 14,097, respectively.

Very few school districts provide Chapter 1 services at the secondary level. On a combined basis, less than 5 percent of all participants in fiscal 1987 were in grades seven or above. The lower percentages of older students do not mean that there are no educationally disadvantaged secondary students. Instead, it indicates that priorities have been established in line with local needs assessment and current levels of funding.

1987 Chapter 1 Students

Grade Ranges	Regular Term		Summer Term		Either or Both Terms	
	Participants	Percent	Participants	Percent	Participants	Percent
Prekindergarten	3,527	3%	32	*	3,559	3%
Kindergarten	10,904	8	25	*	10,914	8
Grades 1-3	77,757	59	3,009	46%	77,855	59
Grades 4-6	32,851	25	2,950	45	32,933	25
Grades 7-9	4,779	4	354	5	5,002	4
Grades 10-12	871	*	205	3	981	*
Totals	**130,689**	**100%**	**6,575**	**100%**	**131,244**	**100%**

*Less than 1 percent

On the average, over 132,000 children were in Chapter 1 classes during each of the last five years. Regular-term students are usually provided over 30 minutes of extra daily instruction for 34 or more weeks. Summer-term students typically study under teacher guidance for about 80 minutes a day for six to eight weeks.

Over 99 percent of the students are usually served during the regular term only, rather than during both terms or the summer only. Fiscal 1987 was an exception because several large city school districts had sufficient funds for summer-term extensions.

Five-Year Trends: Chapter 1 Students

Fiscal Year	Regular Term Only	Summer Term Only	Both Terms	Total
1983	119,211	395	429	120,035
1984	131,637	411	745	132,793
1985	138,785	492	786	140,063
1986	135,733	778	928	137,439
1987	124,669	555	6,020	131,244

Private school students who meet the selection criteria and who reside in qualified attendance areas are included in the planning for basic Chapter 1 programs and are provided with appropriate services. In fiscal 1987, a total of 5,435 private school students received Chapter 1 instruction.

1987 Private School Students

Grade Ranges	Participants	Percent
Prekind.-grade 3	3,711	68%
Grades 4-6	1,480	27
Grades 7-12	244	5
Totals	**5,435**	**100%**

The U.S. Supreme Court ruled in 1985 that Chapter 1 public school teachers cannot be sent into church-related private schools to provide instruction. This ruling, *Aguilar v. Felton*, does not negate the portion of Chapter 1 law which requires that private school students who meet the selection criteria and who reside in qualified attendance areas are to be provided with appropriate Chapter 1 services.

Public school administrators in Ohio responded quickly and effectively. Of the 131,244 students served in fiscal 1987, over four percent were enrolled in private schools. This is similar to the percentage served annually prior to the Supreme Court ruling.

Most private school students were served in conveniently located mobile units. The remainder walked or were transported to public schools or neutral sites.

1987 Instruction for Private School Students

Mode of Service	Number of Participants	Percent of Participants
Mobile units	4,940	91%
Public schools	260	5
Neutral sites	235	4
Totals	**5,435**	**100%**

Five-Year Trends: Private School Students

Fiscal Year	Participants	Grade Ranges		
		Prekind.-3	Grades 4-6	Grades 7-12
1983	5,517	59%	33%	8%
1984	5,832	39	45	16
1985	5,926	62	31	7
1986	5,746	65	30	5
1987	5,433	68	27	5

Local school districts receive extra Chapter 1 funds to help students who reside in homes for neglected or delinquent children. In fiscal 1987, a total of 3,013 such students were served. Of these, 320 participated both terms.

1987 Neglected or Delinquent Students

Grade Ranges	Regular Term		Summer Term	
	Participants	Percent	Participants	Percent
Prekind.-grade 6	414	16%	214	28%
Grades 7-9	1,379	54	345	45
Grades 10-12	776	30	205	27
Totals	**2,569**	**100%**	**764**	**100%**

During the past five years, about 86 percent of all neglected and delinquent students receiving Chapter 1 instruction were served during the regular term. About 8 percent received extra help both terms.

Five-Year Trends: Neglected or Delinquent Students

Fiscal Year	Regular Term	Summer Term	Either or Both Terms
1983	2,629	669	2,964
1984	2,732	596	3,060
1985	2,745	565	3,172
1986	2,806	863	3,457
1987	2,569	764	3,013

Instructional Areas

Instruction in reading is almost always identified as the most crucial area of need. First priority for participation is given to children most in need of additional help. In fiscal 1987, participants were students who scored at or below the 36th percentile on a standardized achievement test. A total of 116,263 students received reading services in the regular term and 6,279 in the summer term.

The usual procedure is for five or so students to leave their regular classroom for about 30 minutes a day and meet separately with a Chapter 1 teacher. Instruction is geared to a level where each child can be successful.

Math, the second-ranked area of need, is usually conducted in a small-group setting similar to that for reading. A total of 15,231 students participated during the regular 1986-87 school year and 5,889 in the summer.

In a few instances, the district determines a need for prekindergarten. As noted, 3,334 children under five were involved during the 1986-87 regular term.

Percentages of participants in each instructional area provide a perspective beyond that of numbers. Eighty-nine percent of the 130,689 regular-term participants received reading instruction. During the summer term, 95 percent of the 6,575 participants were in reading. The difference in percentage of youngsters served is especially great in mathematics. Note that only 12 percent were involved in the regular term while 90 percent received mathematics instruction in the summer.

1987 Chapter 1 Instructional Areas	Regular Term		Summer Term	
	Participants in Area	Percent of All 130,689 Participants	Participants in Area	Percent of All 6,575 Participants
Reading	116,263	89%	6,279	95%
Mathematics	15,231	12	5,889	90
Prekindergarten	3,334	3	32	*
Tutorial**	1,475	1	199	3

*Less than one percent
**In subject areas other than reading and math, mostly for neglected and delinquent youth

Through the years, the extra instruction provided by Chapter 1 and Title I has emphasized improvement of basic reading and mathematics skills. Percentages of all participants involved in these and other instructional areas reflect this trend.

Five-Year Trends: Participation by Instructional Areas

Instructional Areas	Percent of All Regular-Term Participants					Percent of All Summer-Term Participants				
	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987
Reading	89%	90%	89%	89%	89%	76%	77%	72%	57%	95%
Mathematics	9	12	12	11	12	65	48	50	44	90
Prekindergarten	2	2	2	3	3					*
Other**	1	1	1	1	1	7	15	16	23	3

*Less than one percent.
**Mostly tutorial services for neglected and delinquent children residing in institutions.

Impact of Reading Instruction

To evaluate the effectiveness and impact of Chapter 1 reading instruction, each local school uses standardized tests to check students' skills in the fall and again in the spring. Differences in test scores are reported in normal curve equivalent (NCE) units.

The NCE system of reporting measures academic gains which can be attributed to extra instruction provided by Chapter 1. To interpret the data, the reader should understand the following:

- Scores are reported for only those students who take both the pretest and posttest. Scores are converted to NCEs and composited to the state level.
- With only regular classroom instruction, children are expected to maintain their own position relative to other children in the class—that is, make no NCE gains.
- With the extra Chapter 1 instruction, children are expected to achieve (and make NCE gains) at a faster rate than classmates who have only regular instruction. A gain of 7 NCEs is considered significant. As the graph at the right indicates, kindergartners and first graders doubled or nearly doubled this rate in 1987. Participants in all other grades averaged at or above the significant level.

The gains made by children in kindergarten and grade one are especially meaningful since 35 percent of all participants were enrolled at these levels. Average gain for all students in Chapter 1 reading during the 1986-87 school year was 10 NCEs.

This extra instruction annually helps over 100,000 youngsters in Ohio improve their basic reading skills. Stated another way, about nine of ten Chapter 1 participants make gains in reading.



Gains reported are for a sampling group which generated 27,724 sets of test scores for children in kindergarten through grade seven who participated during the regular term and for whom pretest and posttest scores were available. The sample size was not considered large enough to render significant data at the prekindergarten level or above grade seven.

During the past five years, average gains for all grade levels combined have consistently been above the 7 NCEs considered significant. These gains are especially impressive in light of Ohio's policy of limiting participation to children who scored at or below the 36th percentile.

Five-Year Trends: Gains in Reading

Fiscal Year	Average NCE Gain by Grade Level								Average for Project
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
1983	18	18	13	10	7	7	7	5	13
1984	16	16	10	10	8	7	7	7	11
1985	16	14	10	8	7	7	7	8	11
1986	17	16	12	9	8	7	7	8	12
1987	17	13	8	8	8	7	8	7	10

Impact of Mathematics Instruction

Results on standardized tests are also used to evaluate the effectiveness of Chapter 1 mathematics instruction. The system for reporting is the same as that used for reading.

As 1987 gains in mathematics are studied, keep in mind that there were only 15,231 regular-term participants in this area compared with 116,263 in reading.

Note that the average NCE gain at all grade levels was substantially higher than the 7 NCEs considered significant. The average gain for all students receiving Chapter 1 mathematics instruction was 16 NCEs.

During the past five years, about one of every ten participants received extra math instruction. Gains tend to run higher than those for reading. Also, because of the small numbers of students involved in mathematics, gains tend to fluctuate more than in reading.

1987 Gains in Mathematics



Gains reported are for a sampling group which generated 3,242 sets of test scores for children in grades one through six who participated during the regular term and for whom pretest and posttest scores were available. The sample size was not considered large enough to render significant data below grade one or above grade six.

Five-Year Trends: Gains in Mathematics

Fiscal Year	Average NCE Gain by Grade Level						Average for Project
	1	2	3	4	5	6	
1983	24	20	21	16	13	13	17
1984	24	20	15	10	9	7	11
1985	16	13	11	9	9	10	11
1986	24	21	20	18	15	13	18
1987	18	18	15	17	13	13	16

Expenditure Patterns

People trying to understand the size and scope of Chapter 1 want to know when and how the money is spent.

In Ohio, nearly all expenditures are made during the regular school year. In fiscal 1987, less than one percent was used during the summer months.

The money is used for extra instruction, especially in the area of reading. When expenditures within the various instructional areas are viewed as percentages, the importance placed on regular-term instruction and reading is obvious. Expenditures during the summer term are more diversified with noticeable percentage increases in mathematics and in tutorial services, primarily for neglected and delinquent children who reside in institutions.

1987 Chapter 1 Instructional Areas	Regular Term		Summer Term		Fiscal Year	
	Expenditures	Percent	Expenditures	Percent	Expenditures	Percent
Reading	$ 95,045,063	83%	$496,904	70%	$ 95,541,967	83%
Mathematics	11,067,355	10	160,735	23	11,228,090	10
Prekindergarten	4,104,123	4	5,482	1	4,109,605	4
Tutorial services	1,075,278	1	24,041	3	1,099,319	1
Noninstructional services	2,716,786	2	17,540	3	2,734,326	2
Totals	**$114,008,605**	**100%**	**$704,702**	**100%**	**$114,713,307**	**100%**

*Less than 1 percent

The trend in Ohio, not only for the last five years but for the previous seventeen, has been to concentrate expenditures on the improvement of reading skills. Secondary emphasis has consistently been on the improvement of math skills. The only other area with significant expenditures is prekindergarten.

Five-Year Trends: Expenditures by Instructional Areas

Instructional Areas	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987
Reading	86%	85%	84%	85%	83%
Mathematics	9	10	11	10	10
Prekindergarten	4	3	3	4	4
Other*	1	2	2	1	3

*Tutoring for neglected and delinquent students and noninstructional services

1987 Chapter 1 Function Areas	Regular Term		Summer Term		Fiscal Year	
	Expenditures	Percent	Expenditures	Percent	Expenditures	Percent
Salaries and fringe benefits	$109,708,864	96%	$532,817	76%	$110,241,681	96%
Instructional materials, supplies, and equipment	2,381,394	2	132,857	19	2,514,251	2
Supportive services	1,918,347	2	39,028	5	1,957,375	2
Totals	**$114,008,605**	**100%**	**$704,702**	**100%**	**$114,713,307**	**100%**

Expenditures can also be categorized by their use for salaries and fringe benefits; instructional materials, supplies, and equipment; and supportive services. As indicated here, and on page 10, most of the money is used to employ teachers and aides who work directly with children. In contrast, less than one half of one percent is used for equipment.

Five-Year Trends: Expenditures by Function Areas

Function Areas	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987
Salaries and fringe benefits	95%	95%	95%	95%	96%
Instructional materials, supplies, and equipment	3	3	3	3	2
Supportive services	2	2	2	2	2

Another way to look at Chapter 1 expenditures is by average cost per student receiving extra instruction. In fiscal 1987, the 130,689 children in regular-term activities were served at an average cost of $872 each or about $4.84 a day. In a typical six-week summer session, the average cost for each of 6,575 students was $107 or about $3.57 a day.

Average Participant Expenditures

Fiscal Year	Regular Term	Summer Term
1983	$685	$150
1984	729	199
1985	765	168
1986	816	338
1987	872	107

Staff Positions

Ninety-six percent of all Chapter 1 expenditures in 1987 were for salaries and related costs. Who received these salaries and what services did they provide to students? An overview of staff positions provides a general answer.

A total of 3,384 teachers, some of whom worked as tutors, were employed during the regular term and 321 worked during the summer.

Chapter 1 teachers are sometimes assisted by aides. In 1987, 674 aides assisted Chapter 1 teachers during the regular term. In the summer, 6 aides were employed.

During the regular term, 94 percent of the full-time equivalent positions were filled by teachers, tutors, and aides who worked directly with children. In the summer, 84 percent of the positions were filled by teachers, tutors, and aides.

1987 Chapter 1 Staff Positions	Regular Term			Summer Term		
	Full-Time	Part-Time	Full-Time Equivalent	Full-Time	Part-Time	Full-Time Equivalent
Teachers/tutors	2,522	862	2,953	263	58	278
Teacher aides	451	223	560		6	2
Coordinators, supervisors, directors	42	272	97	3	15	4
Secretaries	40	154	78	11		11
Other supportive staff	46	175	69	35	12	37
Totals	**3,101**	**1,686**	**3,757**	**312**	**91**	**332**

The overall effectiveness of Chapter 1 depends on concentrated, direct instruction of children. Between 1983 and 1987, the average regular-term teacher met with 44 children per day, with five per class. During the summer, when morning only sessions are typical, the average teacher met with 15 to 24 children per day, with seven to twelve per class.

Five-Year Trends: Chapter 1 Staff Positions

Staff Positions	Regular Term					Summer Term				
	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987
Teachers/tutors (full-time equivalent)	2,708	3,004	3,140	3,092	2,953	43	79	74	82	278
Participants	119,640	132,382	139,571	136,661	130,689	824	1,156	1,278	1,706	6,575
Average pupil-teacher per day ratio	44-1	44-1	44-1	44-1	44-1	19-1	15-1	17-1	21-1	24-1
Average pupil-teacher class size ratio (reading and math)	5-1	5-1	5-1	5-1	5-1	7-1	8-1	8-1	12-1	10-1

Inservice Education

The teachers, aides, and others who are responsible for helping Chapter 1 participants become successful learners need to renew or upgrade their skills periodically. For this reason, even though many Chapter 1 teachers have masters' degrees and numerous years of successful teaching experience, inservice education is considered an important Chapter 1 activity.

In 1987, a total of $457,485 was used to provide inservice education for 3,648 of the persons who held Chapter 1 staff positions. An additional 1,375 other staff members who worked with Chapter 1 participants also had the opportunity to improve their skills and understanding through these inservice activities.

In some instances, inservice is provided by the local district. In many counties and multicounty areas, districts work together to provide more comprehensive inservice education.

1987 Inservice Participants	Chapter 1 Staff	Other Staff
Teachers	2,833	605
Teacher aides	536	75
School administrators	196	288
Curriculum specialists	14	35
Others	69	372
Totals	**3,648**	**1,375**



Parent Involvement

The major goal of all parent involvement related to Chapter 1 is improved student achievement. As would be expected, types of involvement are varied. A very important type, parent advisory council membership, is discussed separately on the following page.

Parents of all Chapter 1 participants are also encouraged to meet with Chapter 1 teachers to discuss the progress and learning problems of their children. Classroom teachers are sometimes invited to participate in the same conference to provide a more coordinated approach to helping the child.

1987 Types of Involvement	Parents*
Individual conferences with Chapter 1 staff members	101,798
Classroom visits by parents	30,706
Group meetings (in addition to council meetings)	29,765
Planning (in addition to council meetings)	7,115
Home visits by Chapter 1 staff members	7,391

*An estimated total of 87,064 parents of Chapter 1 students were involved one or more times in the listed activities.

Many parents visit their child's Chapter 1 class; help make instructional games for use at school or at home; attend meetings with guest speakers; or help out as volunteer tutors, storytellers, or monitors.

Teachers in some districts visit homes to encourage parent involvement and to gain a better understanding of the needs of individual children.

In fiscal 1987, over 87,000 parents of Chapter 1 students were involved in one or more of the types of activities described on this page and the next.

Five-Year Trends: Types of Parent Involvement

Fiscal Year	Individual Conferences	Classroom Visits	Group Meetings*	Planning*	Home Visits
1983	80,702	25,804	20,722	5,310	8,313
1984	93,357	43,541	25,461	5,716	9,568
1985	98,632	29,784	29,194	6,642	8,832
1986	99,679	28,710	29,408	8,267	8,166
1987	101,798	30,706	29,765	7,115	7,391

*In addition to council meetings

Parent Advisory Councils

Chapter 1 regulations require school districts to convene an annual public meeting for the purpose of explaining activities and programs to the parents of eligible children. Districts may provide reasonable support for additional parent activities.

Chapter 1 legislation dropped the restrictive requirements of its predecessor, Title I, for formal school and district councils. However, many districts in Ohio continued school councils as locally designed organizations. District councils were kept in many districts, but without rigid requirements. Thus, members and school administrators were able to modify their procedures to suit local needs better.

Involvement of parents in an advisory role significantly increased the effectiveness of Chapter 1. Typical activities of school council members included working on committees, observing in classrooms, organizing activities for other parents, and working as volunteers.

At the district level, council members were likely to discuss and recommend ways to improve the district's Chapter 1 activities as they relate to the needs of children, help arrange districtwide or countywide meetings for parents of all Chapter 1 participants, or assist with exchanges of information through newsletters or tours of Chapter 1 classrooms.

1987 Council Membership	School Councils	District Councils
Parents of public school participants	15,762	2,997
Parents of private school participants	841	188
Parents of eligible but unserved children	471	115
Community representatives, other interested persons	554	254
Totals	**17,628**	**3,554**

During fiscal 1987, a total of 1,751 advisory council meetings were held at the school level. Another 493 meetings were held at the district level, where membership totaled 3,554. In addition to local school and district meetings, council members were encouraged to organize and attend county or multidistrict meetings.

During the past five years, membership on district advisory councils has averaged about 4,000 yearly. Although the number of persons who officially serve as district council members has been declining, the total number of parents involved in Chapter 1 activities is quite high. (See the preceding page for details.)

One of the main reasons for the successful involvement of parents is that Chapter 1 teachers and school principals have reached out to them and convinced them that they can make important contributions to their children's academic achievement.

Five-Year Trends: Council Membership		
Fiscal Year	School Councils	District Councils
1983	30,118	4,469
1984	10,799	4,004
1985	16,585	3,982
1986	14,155	3,939
1987	17,628	3,554

Chapter 1 helps children! **Evaluation data gathered in local school districts and compiled at the state level clearly indicate that thousands of children are helped each year. The following list provides supportive evidence and a summary of Chapter 1 operations during fiscal 1987 (the 1986-87 school year and the summer which followed).**

- **Of Ohio's 616 school districts, 613 or 99 percent conducted Chapter 1 programs.**
- **Local school districts spent a total of $114,713,307 in Chapter 1 funds, plus $21,254,446 in other funds, to provide this extra instruction for 131,244 educationally disadvantaged children.**
- **Most Chapter 1 activities were in the regular school term, during which over 99 percent of the participants received instruction and nearly all expenditures were made.**
- **Ninety-five percent of the students receiving Chapter 1 instruction were in grade six or below. The greatest concentration of pupils, 70 percent, was in grades one through four.**
- **Highest priority for Chapter 1 services is given to reading. Nearly 89 percent of all regular-term participants and 95 percent of all summer-term participants received instruction in this area.**
- **Chapter 1 participants are making significant achievement gains. Students receiving extra instruction in reading gained an average of 10 NCEs (the normal curve equivalent unit of measure especially designed to measure Chapter 1 progress). Students receiving mathematics instruction also gained an average of 16 NCEs. (A gain of 7 or above is considered significant.)**
- **Eighty-three percent of all expenditures for the year were directed toward reading instruction. Next in money expended were mathematics and prekindergarten, with 10 and 4 percent, respectively.**
- **Ninety-six percent of all expenditures for the year were for staff salaries and related fringe benefits.**
- **School districts hired 2,953 teachers or certified tutors, on a full-time equivalent basis, to instruct Chapter 1 participants during the regular term. During the summer term, districts hired 278 teachers or tutors on a full-time equivalent basis.**
- **Parent advisory councils, even though they were no longer required by law, were an integral part of Chapter 1. A total of 3,554 people served on district councils and 17,628 were on building councils.**

Summary of Successes

Several reasons for the success of Chapter 1 are apparent:

- Provision of concentrated instructional services for selected educationally disadvantaged children.
- Emphasis on needs assessment and diagnostic-prescriptive instruction.
- Concentration on improvement of basic reading and math skills.
- Coordination with classroom instruction.
- Reliance on school principals as instructional leaders.
- Support by local boards of education with additional funds for Chapter 1 purposes.
- Meaningful involvement of parents in advisory roles.

This program is working in Ohio, but much more must be done if the instructional needs of eligible children are to be met in the future. The following courses of action by school administrators are recommended:

- Continue to use available funds prudently.
- Encourage teachers, principals, and parents to work together to plan and carry out Chapter 1 instructional activities.
- Urge teachers to continue developing personalized instructional plans for each Chapter 1 participant.
- Seek ways to motivate more children to improve their reading and math skills.
- Continue to involve parents in meaningful advisory roles.
- Convince legislators and the public through effective publications, audiovisual presentations, and speaking engagements that Chapter 1 helps children.

Concerned parents, educators, and other community leaders must also convince the President, members of Congress, and other government officials that

- Chapter 1 helps thousands of children annually to improve their reading and math skills and to be successful in school.
- Much remains to be done to help thousands of additional educationally disadvantaged children each school year.
- Children who aren't helped to master basic academic skills are more likely to end up on unemployment and welfare rolls in the future and cost more in tax dollars instead of less.
- Local public school districts and states cannot solve educational problems alone. *Federal aid for areas of special need is essential.*

Special Programs for Migrant Children

Educational programs for children of migratory agricultural workers are currently funded through special provisions in Chapter 1 of the Education Consolidation and Improvement Act.

Annual grant awards to the state are based on a count of school-age children and the number of days they are in Ohio. Recruiters locate families as they move from state to state and community to community, register the children and have information about them sent to the national Migrant Student Record Transfer System, and tell parents about the educational services provided through Chapter 1.

The table below reports the grant awards for the past five years.

Fiscal Year	Grant Award
1983	$1,455,331
1984	1,338,887
1985	1,492,449
1986	1,349,893
1987	1,297,904

Three crops (pickles, tomatoes, and sugar beets) and truck farming attract workers and their families to Ohio. School districts, primarily in northwestern and western parts of the state, that anticipate influxes of migrant students apply to the Ohio Department of Education for funds. Allocations and budgets are based on the number of students expected and the services to be provided. If enrollments run higher or lower, adjustments are made. The number of students who enroll each year fluctuates with labor demands and weather conditions.

Districts and Chapter 1 Participants		
Fiscal Year	Districts	Participants
1983	27	3,190
1984	27	2,944
1985	24	3,268
1986	23	3,407
1987	23	2,854

A few migrant families arrive in Ohio in time for spring plowing. The greatest influx is during July and August. Many families stay until the first frost in late September or early October. Seasonal enrollment for the last five years illustrates these trends.

Enrollment by Seasons of the Year					
Seasons	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987
Fall	1,785	1,550	1,129	1,271	1,278
Spring	119	118	95	117	95
Summer	2,059	1,972	1,992	2,095	1,698
Year-round	73	76	96	147	106

Between 52 to 64 percent of the migrant youngsters receiving Chapter 1 instruction are usually enrolled in grades one through six. From 20 to 25 percent are typically in kindergarten, preschool, or summer daycare. The remainder are in grades seven through twelve.

Chapter 1 Enrollment by Grade Ranges

Fiscal Year	Prekind.-K	1-3	4-6	7-12
1983	20%	34%	30%	16%
1984	22	33	28	17
1985	22	30	26	22
1986	25	30	23	22
1987	25	29	23	23

Instructional emphasis is on helping younger children develop English language skills. Oral language, in particular, is stressed because many of the children are predominately Spanish speaking. Improvement of reading and math skills is also emphasized. The typical student often receives instruction in more than one subject area, especially during the summer.

At the secondary level during the spring and fall, migrant students have the same course choices as local students. Chapter 1 migrant funds are used primarily to provide teachers for tutoring, as needed. During the summer, both academic and vocational subjects are offered. Several school districts schedule summer evening classes so that older students can both work and attend school.

From 83 to 89 percent of the funds for migrant education are used for staff salaries and fringe benefits. Because of the nature of migrant education, supportive services are necessary. During the summer months, pupil transportation and food services must be provided. Other supportive services include student recruitment and transmission of health and educational information to the Migrant Student Record Transfer System.

Expenditures by Function Areas

Function Areas	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987
Salaries, fringe benefits	84%	85%	86%	89%	83%
Instructional materials, supplies, equipment	3	3	1	1	1
Other services	13	12	13	10	14

The following numbers of instructional, administrative, and supportive staff were employed on a full-time equivalent basis.

Staff Positions (Full-Time Equivalent*)	Regular Term	Summer Term
Teachers	48	53
Teacher aides	24	55
Directors, coordinators	8	8
Transfer record clerks	14	8
Recruiters	10	8
Transportation personnel	1	13
Food service workers	3	16
Custodians	1	10

*For number of weeks respective programs were in operation.

Parent involvement is required by law. Types of involvement include school and class visits, conferences with teachers, parent advisory council membership, and assistance with recruitment of students.

Parent Involvement

Types of Involvement	Fall 1986	Year-Round 1986-87	Spring 1987	Summer 1987
School/class visits	7	5	4	279
Individual conferences	99	27	2	144
Advisory council membership	120	24	2	130
Recruitment assistance	35		1	150



Chapter 1 for Migrant Children: Summary of Successes

Fiscal 1987 highlights and successes of migrant education in Ohio include the following:

- About 80 percent of the 2,854 participants were interstate travelers, most from Texas or Florida. The parents of about 11 percent were former migrants who have permanently settled in Ohio within the last five years. The remainder were from families that traveled within the state to obtain agricultural employment.
- During the summer months when regular schools were not in session, districts operated special migrant schools.
- In the spring and fall, both elementary and secondary migrant children spent most of the day in regular classrooms. Those who needed extra assistance were "pulled out" for supplemental instruction which was tutorial in nature.
- Eight districts enrolling over 125 migrant students each during the summer were Elmwood, Findlay, Fremont, Lakota, Old Fort, Pike-Delta-York, Vanguard, and Woodmore. Three districts—Fremont, Old Fort, and Woodmore—served 100 or more students in the fall.
- Two districts, Fremont and Toledo, provided year-round programs for 106 students.
- Four districts—Genoa, Lakota, Vanguard, and Woodmore—conducted evening classes for high school and junior high students. A total of 362 students were enrolled.
- Twenty-one students in four districts—Findlay, Genoa, Marlington, and Vanguard—took PASS (Portable Assisted Study Sequence) courses in English, mathematics, social studies, or science.
- Ohio and Texas educators are continuing their efforts to coordinate the instruction and services available to both high school and junior high students.
- The migrant education center at Fremont provided consultant services, developed instructional and recruitment materials, and distributed media resources.
- State-sponsored workshops were held for various groups including administrators, teachers, transfer record clerks, and recruiters. The most comprehensive inservice effort was a three-day English-as-a-second-language workshop for teachers.
- Ohio's terminal for the Migrant Student Record Transfer System continued to send and receive information about migrant children living in Ohio.

Special Programs for Handicapped Children

Another of the three special sections of Chapter 1 provides supplementary funds to meet important educational needs of handicapped children in state-operated and state-supported schools. In Ohio, during each of the past five years, an average of 4,600 children have been helped through this source of federal aid to education.

Grant awards are based on annual child count data and reflect declining enrollments in state-operated schools. Funding levels during the past five years have been sufficient for school officials to provide concentrated services for the children selected for Chapter 1 participation.

In fiscal 1987, a total of 4,651 handicapped children were provided Chapter 1 services in the following types of special purpose schools:

- Eighty-four schools operated by county boards of mental retardation and developmental disabilities served 4,205 youngsters.
- Four schools in residential developmental centers administered by the Ohio Department of Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities served 33 children.
- Eleven schools within psychiatric hospitals operated by the Ohio Department of Mental Health served 173 emotionally disturbed children.
- Two residential schools administered by the Ohio Department of Education—the Ohio State School for the Blind and the Ohio School for the Deaf—served 240 students.

Programs, Participants, and Funds

Fiscal Year	Programs	Participants	Grant Awards
1983	109	5,128	$6,825,058
1984	112	4,310	5,503,181
1985	107	4,663	4,938,307
1986	107	4,130	4,781,118
1987	99	4,651	4,393,710

Chapter 1 Participants by Type of School					
Type of School	**1983**	**1984**	**1985**	**1986**	**1987**
County Boards of Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities	4,336	3,622	3,955	3,509	4,205
Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities Centers	133	73	83	53	33
Mental Health Hospitals and Centers	369	333	349	323	173
Ohio School for the Deaf	174	166	163	132	129
Ohio State School for the Blind	116	116	113	113	111
Totals	**5,128**	**4,310**	**4,663**	**4,130**	**4,651**

Ninety percent of the handicapped children in Ohio who received Chapter 1 services were enrolled in county-operated schools. The others attended school on the premises of the state facility where they permanently or temporarily lived.

Special Chapter 1 funds for handicapped children are used to provide educational services that supplement those provided by state and other federal funds. An assessment of instructional needs frequently leads to a provision of services for children who are under or above the traditional school ages of six through seventeen. Note that from 29 to 37 percent have been age 5 or under. Information on participants ages 18-21 is not collected separately.

Expenditures by Function Areas					
Function Areas	**1983**	**1984**	**1985**	**1986**	**1987**
Salaries, fringe benefits, contracted personal services	98%	97%	98%	98%	98%
Instructional materials, supplies, equipment, supportive services	1	2	1	1	1
Administration	1	1	1	1	1

Ninety-eight percent of all funds made available in fiscal 1987 through state agency provisions of Chapter 1 for handicapped children were used for instructional salaries, fringe benefits, or contracted personal services.

Five-Year Trends: Handicapped Students				
Fiscal Year	**Participants**	**Age Ranges**		
		5 or Under	**6-12**	**13-21**
1983	5,128	29%	26%	45%
1984	4,310	29	23	48
1985	4,663	31	23	46
1986	4,130	34	22	44
1987	4,651	37	23	40

Instructional activities and services for handicapped children are quite diversified. The types of teachers, specialists, and aides employed and the number of children they serve indicate instructional priorities.

Staff and Children by Instructional Areas

Instructional Areas (Rank Order by Numbers of Children Served)	Chapter 1 Staff (Full-Time Equivalent)		Children Served			
	Teachers/ Specialists	Aides	Ages 0-5	Ages 6-12	Ages 13-21	Totals
Multihandicapped	1	114	194	360	350	904
Preschool/early childhood	4	47	636	4		640
Provocational training	13	13		126	567	693
Language development	9	5	191	199	213	603
Developmental	6	14	104	126	203	433
Infant stimulation	21	12	419			419
Occupational therapy	3	3	144	114	121	379
Behavior management	5	17	85	77	117	279
Physical development	1	7	48	62	103	213
Physical therapy	1	1	112	5	2	119
Supportive home services	5		32			32

A way to look at the trend in program priorities is by the percent of all Chapter 1 participants served in each instructional area. Fluctuations in percentages tend to reflect shifts in the types of children served and the availability of other funding sources.

Five-Year Trends: Program Priorities by Percent of Children Served

Instructional Areas	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987
Multihandicapped	10%	12%	12%	18%	19%
Preschool/early childhood	10	8	9	9	14
Prevocational training	7	11	14	13	15
Language development	21	19	20	8	13
Developmental	9	8	8	4	9
Infant stimulation	6	7	8	12	9
Occupational therapy	14	8	6	4	8
Behavior management	3	5	3	5	6
Physical development	14	11	5	9	5
Physical therapy	8	7	5	1	3
Supportive home services	2	3	4	1	1
Children served in one or more areas	5,128	4,310	4,663	4,130	4,651

Another way to look at the impact of Chapter 1 funding on handicapped children is through the services provided within each of the types of schools.

The schools operated by county boards served the most children and, as would be expected, their Chapter 1 services were the most diversified. Preschool, multihandicapped, and developmental classes were typical priorities in fiscal 1987.

State institutions for the mentally retarded and developmentally disabled used Chapter 1 funds for staff inservice.

At the schools for hospitalized emotionally disturbed children, most Chapter 1 instruction was directed toward prevocational and career awareness orientation. Improvement of reading and math skills was a secondary priority.

Students at the Ohio School for the Deaf were provided occupational therapy services and extra classroom assistance through the employment of aides.

Chapter 1 at the Ohio State School for the Blind included mobility training, activity therapy, and improvement of daily living skills.

Because of the severity of handicaps and diversity of Chapter 1 services, statistics compiled at the state level must be generalized. As the table below indicates, from 82 to 87 percent of the students in all age ranges successfully achieved over one-half of their short-term objectives in fiscal 1987.

Five-Year Trends: Student Progress With Short-Term Objectives

Degree of Improvement	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987
Marked improvement (80-100% achieved)	39%	40%	38%	43%	39%
Improvement (50-79% achieved)	40	42	46	40	45
Little or no improvement (49% or less achieved)	21	18	16	17	16

Five-year trends in student progress provide another indicator of the success of Chapter 1 services for the handicapped. Note that from 79 to 84 percent of all students achieved over one-half of their short-term objectives in each of the last five years.

1987 Student Progress With Short-Term Objectives

Degree of Improvement	Ages 0-5	Ages 6-12	Ages 13-21	All Age Ranges
Marked improvement (80-100% achieved)	49%	32%	33%	39%
Improvement (50-79% achieved)	38	50	50	45
Little or no improvement (49% or less achieved)	13	18	17	16

Chapter 1 for Handicapped: Summary of Successes

Students with severe handicaps are successfully achieving realistic short-term objectives. Teachers and other evaluators, using both subjective and objective criteria, report that fiscal 1987 Chapter 1 funds helped eight of every ten students reach over half of the objectives set for them.

Typical achievements were such taken-for-granted skills as sitting or standing without support, toilet training, self-feeding, making intelligible sounds, and communicating with teachers and parents.

Parents are involved in decisions related to placement of the child and types of instructional services to be provided. In some instances, home trainers or teachers help parents learn ways to cope with the child's deficiencies and to reinforce skills learned at school.

Chapter 1 funds are also used to provide workshops and other types of inservice training designed to increase teacher and parent effectiveness under very challenging circumstances. In fiscal 1987, for example, the Ohio Department of Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities conducted regional workshops on serving the multihandicapped and improving behavior management skills.

In summary, severely handicapped children have a right to appropriate educational services, and Chapter 1 is one piece of legislation which addresses this need.



Special Programs for Neglected and Delinquent Children

Separate provisions of Chapter 1 also provide funds for improved educational opportunities for neglected and delinquent children who attend state agency schools. The Ohio Department of Youth Services, the Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction, and their Ohio Veterans' Children's Home receive funds and conduct Chapter 1 programs.

During fiscal 1987, the Ohio Department of Youth Services used Chapter 1 funds to help 906 delinquent youngsters in seven schools. Emphasis was placed on additional basic skills instruction in the areas of reading and mathematics. Supportive services included language development.

The Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction provided supplemental reading and mathematics instruction to 503 inmates 16 to 20 years of age serving terms at Southeastern Ohio Training Center, Lebanon Correctional Institution, Ohio Reformatory for Women at Marysville, and Ohio State Reformatory at Mansfield.

The Ohio Veterans' Children's Home in Xenia provided 146 residents with extra reading instruction. Supportive activities included tutorial assistance and language development.

During each of the last five years, over $1,000,000 has been available to provide supplemental instruction to an average of 1,655 neglected and delinquent children, nearly all of whom were wards of the state or the courts.

Programs, Participants, and Funds

Fiscal Year	Programs	Participants	Grant Award
1983	13	1,802	$1,006,212
1984	14	1,833	1,527,485
1985	14	1,795	1,279,301
1986	13	1,290	1,411,032
1987	12	1,555	1,488,281

Participation and Instructional Patterns

The number of participants served each year tends to vary in line with the number of children committed to agency care.

Chapter 1 Participants by State Agency					
Agency	**1983**	**1984**	**1985**	**1986**	**1987**
Ohio Department of Youth Services	1,171	1,050	1,054	529	906
Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction	488	626	564	633	503
Ohio Veterans' Children's Home	143	157	177	128	146
Totals	**1,802**	**1,833**	**1,795**	**1,290**	**1,555**

As would be expected, most students served through this special Chapter 1 program are older than students in other Chapter 1 classes. The table below reflects the fact that one-third of them are beyond the typical age for high school enrollment.

1987 Participants		
Age Ranges	**Participants**	**Percent**
6-12	48	3%
13-17	771	50
18-20	736	47
Totals	**1,555**	**100%**

Though the age ranges are different, the top priority for instruction is consistently identified as improvement of basic reading or math skills. About one-fourth of the students receive extra help in both areas.

Instructional Areas by Percent of All Participants		
Fiscal Year	**Reading**	**Mathematics**
1983	73%	66%
1984	69	50
1985	59	57
1986	55	69
1987	62	66

Expenditure and Staffing Patterns

Historically, 93 percent or more of all expenditures go for instructional salaries, fringe benefits, and personal service contracts. Fiscal 1984 was a one-time exception, enabling the Ohio Department of Youth Services to upgrade the instructional resources in seven Chapter 1 centers. Also, the Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction started a new center at the Southeastern Ohio Training Center near Lancaster in 1984.

Expenditures by Function Areas

Function Areas	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987
Salaries, fringe benefits, personal service contracts	95%	83%	97%	93%	94%
Instructional materials, supplies, equipment, supportive services	1	11	2	4	2
Administration	4	6	1	3	4

Expenditures for salaries and related costs are used almost entirely to employ teachers and aides who work directly with students. Employment patterns for fiscal 1987 follow.

1987 Staff Positions	Full-Time Equivalent
Teachers/tutors	30
Teacher aides	11
Supervisors/directors	3
Other	1
Total	**45**

Expenditures can also be categorized by instructional areas. During each of the past five years, from 48 to 63 percent of all available funds were used to improve reading skills. Another 33 to 45 percent of the expenditures were for mathematics instruction.

Expenditures by Instructional Areas

Instructional Areas	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987
Reading	49%	48%	63%	50%	50%
Mathematics	35	34	33	44	45
Other*	16	18	4	6	5

*Includes tutorial services and language development.

Evaluation Procedures

To evaluate academic progress in reading and mathematics, standardized tests were used in the fall and again in the spring. Differences in test scores were reported in normal curve equivalent (NCE) units.

The NCE system of reporting has been used since 1984 to measure academic gains which can be attributed to extra instruction provided by Chapter 1 for neglected and delinquent children who attend state agency schools.

To interpret the NCE gains reported on page 27, the reader needs to understand the following:

- Scores are reported for only those students who take both the pretest and posttest. Test scores are converted to NCEs and composited to the state level.
- With only regular classroom instruction, students are expected to maintain their own position relative to other students in the class—that is, make no NCE gains.
- With extra Chapter 1 instruction, students are expected to achieve (and make NCE gains) at a faster rate than classmates who have only regular instruction. Considering the population being served, any number of NCE gains should be regarded as progress.

Gains in Reading and Mathematics

A total of 964 neglected and delinquent students in state agency schools received Chapter 1 reading instruction in fiscal 1987. Because dates of enrollment are often dependent on court orders and paroles or releases, the 564 sets of reading test scores that were generated during the norming dates specified by test manufacturers were used as a sampling group. The average gain for this group was 4 NCEs.

The same system of testing and reporting is used for evaluation academic progress in mathematics. A total of 1,026 students were enrolled in Chapter 1 math classes, and 608 sets of test scores were generated for sample purposes. The average gain here was 12 NCEs.

Because of the low number of students who generate both pretest and posttest scores, NCE gains tend to fluctuate from year to year.

Four-Year Trends: Gains in Reading and Mathematics

Fiscal Year	Average NCE Gains	
	Reading	Mathematics
1984	12	10
1985	7	7
1986	10	6
1987	4	12

Chapter 1 for Neglected and Delinquent Children: Summary of Successes

Most neglected and delinquent youth who are housed or confined in state facilities which operate their own schools desperately need supplemental opportunities to learn basic academic skills. They also need personalized instruction designed to overcome negative attitudes and the effects of previous school failures.

Special Chapter 1 funds are set aside to be channeled through state departments of education to correctional and rehabilitation facilities. This routing of funds assures emphasis on instruction rather than provision of more caretakers and better security.

Statistics only partially summarize the impact of this component of Chapter 1 in Ohio. Other highlights include

- **Instruction funded through Chapter 1 supplements instruction provided by the state to all students educated under similar circumstances. A total of 1,555 students in 12 schools participated in fiscal 1987.**
- **Individual students who need extra help with basic reading or mathematics skills are identified, their academic needs assessed, and appropriate instruction provided. In fiscal 1987, a total of 964 Chapter 1 participants were enrolled in reading classes and 1,026 were in math classes.**
- **Evaluation data indicate that students receiving extra reading instruction for six months or more in fiscal 1987 gained an average of 4 NCEs (the normal curve equivalent unit used to measure Chapter 1 progress). Students receiving six months or more of mathematics instruction gained an average of 12 NCEs. When analyzing NCE gains, keep in mind that most of these students were convicted felons and had poor or failing grades in previous school settings.**
- **Chapter 1 funds are also used to provide inservice training designed to increase teacher effectiveness under very challenging circumstances.**



State Leadership

All Chapter 1 funds are channeled through state departments of education. In Ohio, the Division of Federal Assistance administers Chapter 1 programs. One exception is that the Division of Special Education administers the component which provides funds to state agency schools for the handicapped.

A staff of 15 to 18 experienced school administrators and educational consultants provides technical assistance to local districts and state agencies to ensure delivery of concentrated and effective instructional services to children.

Major services provided by the Ohio Department of Education to local school districts and to state agency schools include

- Assistance in planning and developing project proposals.
- Review of project proposals received from applicant agencies.
- Assistance with revision of proposals to meet federal guidelines.
- Approval of project proposals.
- Assistance with project implementation, staff development, parent involvement, evaluation, fiscal accounts, reports, and dissemination of information.
- Determination of allocations, disbursements of funds, and preparation of statistical and financial reports.

The principal means by which division staff members provide information about the various programs are office conferences; field services; meetings with local staff and parent advisory councils; state and regional workshops; and publications, audiovisual presentations, and speaking engagements.

During fiscal 1987, numerous conferences and workshops were sponsored by the Division of Federal Assistance. Major events included a statewide conference for program administrators, Chapter 1 teachers, and parents; a meeting for new Chapter 1 coordinators; several meetings for federal program directors from large districts; and various meetings for migrant education coordinators, teachers, aides, and support personnel.

Guidelines for Chapter 1 require the state educational agency to disseminate pertinent information. The Division of Federal Assistance distributes printed information about guildelines, application procedures, and a variety of promising educational practices.

State publications for fiscal 1987 included the preceding edition of *Chapter One in Ohio* and *The Clipboard*, a periodic report about the various programs administered by the Division of Federal Assistance.

Franklin B. Walter
Superintendent of Public Instruction

Raymond A. Horn, Assistant
Superintendent of Public Instruction



Arlie Cox, Director,
Division of Federal Assistance



Ten Chapter 1 programs which typify excellence were honored at the division's 1987 spring conference. Persons who attended the awards banquet from Southeast Local (Wayne County) are pictured with their plaque.

Chapter 1 in Ohio

Five-Year Financial Summary

Grant Awards

Programs	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987
Basic	$82,548,584	$ 94,241,680	$103,812,686	$111,078,740	$109,122,668
Migrant	1,455,331	1,338,887	1,492,449	1,349,893	1,297,904
Handicapped	6,825,058	5,503,181	4,938,307	4,846,697	4,393,710
Neglected & delinquent	1,006,212	1,527,485	1,279,301	1,411,032	1,488,281
Totals	**$91,835,185**	**$102,611,233**	**$111,522,743**	**$118,686,362**	**$116,302,563**

Five-Year Human Impact Summary

Number of Students Receiving Extra Instruction

Programs	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987
Basic	120,035	132,793	140,063	137,439	131,244
Migrant	3,190	2,944	3,268	3,407	2,854
Handicapped	5,128	4,310	4,663	4,130	4,651
Neglected & delinquent	1,802	1,833	1,795	1,290	1,555
Totals	**130,155**	**141,880**	**149,789**	**146,266**	**140,304**

PUBLICATION CREDITS

Ohio Department of Education

Franklin B. Walter, Superintendent of Public Instruction

Raymond A. Horn, Assistant Superintendent of Public Instruction

Arlie Cox, Director, Division of Federal Assistance

Thomas Wilson, Assistant Director

Douglas Reeves, Evaluator

Eileen Young, Editor

PHOTOGRAPHY

Public school systems of Akron, Canton, Cleveland, Columbus, Dawson-Bryant, Dayton, Defiance, East Cleveland, Findlay, Hamilton, Marlington, Northwest (Hamilton County), Pike-Delta-York, Southeast (Wayne County), Southwest (Hamilton County), Spencerville, Willoughby-Eastlake, and Xenia; state agency schools operated by Cuyahoga County Board of MR/DD, Ohio Department of Youth Services, and Ohio Veterans' Children's Home; Ohio School for the Deaf and Ohio State School for the Blind; and the Ohio Department of Education.

The activity which is the subject of this report was supported in whole or in part by the U.S. Department of Education. However, the opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the position or policy of the U.S. Department of Education, and no official endorsement by the U.S. Department of Education should be inferred.

The Ohio Department of Education ensures equal employment and equal educational opportunities regardless of race, color, creed, national origin, handicap, or sex in compliance with state directives and federal recommendations.

Year • 1987-1988 • of the

Accountability • Basics • Citizenship

Total copies printed: 11,000
Unit cost: $.6811
Publication date: 3/88